Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority (BARDA) Request for Project Proposals (RPP) for

"NextGen Vaccinations: Phase 2B Clinical Trial Execution"



RPP #: 24-04-NGVx

Issued: November 30, 2023

Amendment No. 2 Issue Date: 15 December 2023

Due: January 10, 2024 3PM ET

Biomedical Advanced Research Development Authority (BARDA)
Contracts Management & Acquisition (CMA)
400 7th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20024

MedicalCountermeasures.gov

Amendment No. 02 does the following: Provides additional clarification in Section 4.2 and 4.3.

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

Contents

1	Exe	ecutive Summary	4
	1.1	Rapid Response Partnership Vehicle Consortium	4
	1.2	Purpose	4
2	Adr	ministrative Overview	4
	2.1	Request for Project Proposals (RPP)	4
	2.2	RPP Approach	 5
	2.3	Period of Performance and Type of Funding Instrument Issued	5
	2.4	Expected Award Date	5
	2.5	Anticipated Proposal Selection Notification Proprietary Information	6
	2.6	Proprietary Information	6
	2.7	Eligibility Criteria	6
	2.8	Cost Sharing	6
	2.9	Intellectual Property and Data Rights	
3	Pro	posals	8
	3.1	Question and Answer Period	
	3.2	Proposal General Instructions	
	3.3	Proposal Submission	8
	3.4	Proposal Preparation Cost	
	3.5	Submission Format	
	3.6	Cost Proposal	9
	3.7	Restrictions on Animal and Human Subjects	10
4	Tec	chnical Requirements	10
	4.2	Track 1: Phase 2b Clinical Trial Execution – Management	11
	4.3	Track 2: Phase 2b Clinical Trial Execution – Partnership.	12
	4.4	Project Management Objectives for Track 1 and Track 2	13
5	Sele	ection/Evaluation	14
	5.1	Compliance Screening	14
	5.2	Proposal Evaluation Process	14
	5.3	Evaluation Factors	15
	5.4	Cost/Price Evaluation	16
	5.5	Best Value	17

	5.6	Basket Provision	17
6	Poir	nts of Contact	17
Αт	TACHM	IENT 1 — TECHNICAL PROPOSAL TEMPLATE	19
1.	Tec	hnical Proposal Cover Page	20
2.	Mei	mber Information Sheet	21
3.		cutive Summary & Minimum Eligibility Requirements	
4.	Tec	hnical Approach	22
5.	Cur	rent & Pending Support	27
6.		a Rights	
7.	Res	rumes of Key Personnel	28
Αт	TACHM	IENT 2 – COST PROPOSAL TEMPLATE	29
1.	Cos	et Proposal Cover Page	30
2.		t Proposal Section I: Cost Proposal Narrative Template	
1.		t Proposal Narrative Overview	
2.		t Proposal Narrative Cost Data	
3.	Cos	t Proposal Section II: Cost Proposal Format	35
Ат	TACHM	IENT 3 – STATEMENT OF WORK (SOW) TEMPLATE	37
Αт	TACHM	IENT SUMMARY	70
	Attach	nment A – Project Objectives (see Section A, page 10)	70
	Attach	nment B – Minimum Required Deliverables (see Attachment 3, Section 4, page 37)	70
	Attach	nment C – Protocol Synopsis (See Separate File)	70
		nment D – NIAID DMID Standard Operating Procedure PBMC and Associated Plasma_SOP (See ate File)	
		nment E – NextGen COVID-19 Vaccines_Ph2b Tenets_20231107 (See Separate File)	
		nment F – Expectations on sample sharing and analysis (See Separate File)	
		nment G - Specimen Guidelines for Transfer of Specimens for Secondary use to CSN BSIP (See	70
		ate File)	70
	Attach	nment H – Active Enrollment Report_Daily Data Specs (See Separate File)	70

1 Executive Summary

1.1 Rapid Response Partnership Vehicle Consortium

The Rapid Response Partnership Vehicle (RRPV) Consortium is an enterprise partnership in collaboration with industry and academia to facilitate research and development activities, in cooperation with the Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority (BARDA).

The RRPV will help fortify national health security by developing medical countermeasures products prior to and during a pandemic or public health emergency. The RRPV will focus on the acceleration of products and technology development, regulatory approval, commercialization, and sustainment to address pandemic influenza, emerging infectious diseases, and other biological threats.

Advanced Technology International (ATI) has been awarded an Other Transaction Agreement (OTA) by BARDA to serve as the Consortium Management Firm (CMF) for the RRPV.

RRPV is openly recruiting members to join a broad and diverse biomedical consortium that includes representatives from all organizations who work within stated technical focus areas; for more information on the RRPV mission, refer to the RRPV website at RRPV.org. For entities interested in joining the RRPV Consortium and responding to this solicitation, please visit www.rrpv.org/how-to-join.

1.2 Purpose

The Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority (BARDA), Administration for Strategic Preparedness and Response, US Department of Health and Human Services is requesting project proposals for the advanced clinical development and assessment of next-generation vaccines and therapeutics for COVID-19. BARDA has previously identified a capability gap for COVID-19 vaccines that, when compared to current vaccines, offer improved durability, breadth of protection, and/or transmission blocking in the face of new SARS-CoV-2 variants. The purpose of this project is to partner with developers and other organizations to advance the clinical development of next-generation COVID-19 vaccines into a Phase 2b clinical trial to include planning and regulatory support as needed. The goal of this project is to provide better COVID-19 solutions and bolster preparedness and response against future health security threats. To be eligible for funding, performers must have a successful history of developing, cGMP manufacturing, release testing, conducting clinical trials for vaccines.

Strategic oversight for the Project Award(s) supported by this RPP will be provided by BARDA.

2 Administrative Overview

2.1 Request for Project Proposals (RPP)

Each response submitted to this RPP shall contain a Technical Proposal and a Cost Proposal, as well as additional documents described in Section 3 of this request. White papers are not required for this RPP.

2.2 RPP Approach

This RPP provides Offerors with two different opportunity tracks, which are detailed in Section 4.2. To be eligible for either track Offerors must be in the process of completing or have successfully completed Phase 2b planning activities for a next-generation COVID-19 vaccine with the USG in the last one (1) year. Offerors may propose to either track, but not both. The Government reserves the right to redirect proposals for Track 1 to Track 2 at its discretion. Both tracks will be evaluated in accordance with Section 5.

It is expected that there will be a total of one or more qualified respondents to accomplish the statement of objectives. If an optimal team is not identified, then BARDA may direct the RRPV CMF to make multiple, individual awards to Offeror(s) to accomplish subset(s) of the key tasks.

Each proposal selected for award under this RPP will be executed as a Project Award under the RRPV by the RRPV CMF and be funded under the OTA Number 75A50123D00005. The same provisions will govern this Base Agreement as the OTA between the USG and ATI, unless otherwise noted in the Project Award.

At the time of the submission, Offerors must certify on the cover page of their Proposal that, if selected for award, they will abide by the terms and conditions of the latest version of the RRPV Base Agreement. Base Agreements are typically not executed until Offeror is selected for award.

Offerors are advised to check the RRPV website periodically during the proposal preparation period for any changes to the RRPV Base Agreement terms and conditions.

2.3 Period of Performance and Type of Funding Instrument Issued

The anticipated Period of Performance for Track 1 is estimated to be 42 months. The anticipated Period of Performance for Track 2 is estimated to be 42 months. Specific dates are to be negotiated. It is anticipated that the primary place of performance will be the performers' facilities, however this aspect can be negotiated as part of each Performers' submission.

The U.S. Government (USG) may apply additional dollars for follow-on efforts with appropriate modification of the Project Award.

Funding of proposals received in response to this RPP is contingent upon the availability of federal funds for this program.

2.4 Expected Award Date

Offeror should plan on the period of performance for Track 1 beginning in second quarter of Fiscal Year 24. Offeror should plan on the period of performance for Track 2 beginning in second quarter of Fiscal Year 24. Government reserves the right to change the proposed period of performance start date through negotiations via the RRPV CMF and prior to issuing a Project Award.

2.5 Anticipated Proposal Selection Notification

As the basis of selections is completed, the Government will forward their selections to the RRPV CMF to notify Offerors. Proposers will be notified of the decision via email from the RRPV CMF of the results of the evaluation. All Offerors will receive feedback on eligible submissions.

2.6 Proprietary Information

The RRPV CMF will oversee submission of proposals submitted in response to this RPP. The RRPV CMF shall take the necessary steps to protect all proprietary information and shall not use such proprietary information for purposes other than proposal evaluation and agreement administration. Please mark all Confidential or Proprietary Information as such. An Offeror's submission of a proposal under this RPP indicates concurrence with the aforementioned CMF responsibilities.

2.7 Eligibility Criteria

Offerors submitting proposals must be RRPV members when the proposal is submitted. As mentioned above, prospective Offerors may join the consortium at www.rrpv.org/how-to-join.

Additionally, in order to respond to either track identified in this RPP, Offerors must show evidence they satisfy the following **minimum eligibility criteria**:

- A successful history of developing cGMP manufacturing, release testing, and completed/completing Phase 2b planning activities for vaccine clinical trials.
- Active or complete Phase 1 clinical trial Next-Generation COVID-19 Vaccine, with unblinded safety and immunogenicity data to support a Phase 2b trial.
 - To qualify as a Next-Generation COVID-19 Vaccine, offeror's must have a Vaccine candidate that includes one or more of the following aspects: mucosal administration, inclusion of spike protein and at least one additional non-spike SARS-CoV-2 antigen, and/or contain more than two different Receptor Binding Domains (RBDs).

Proposals found to not meet these minimum eligibility criteria as detailed above may be removed from consideration, no further evaluation will be performed, and feedback will not be provided to these Offerors.

2.8 Cost Sharing

Cost sharing is defined as the resources expended by the Project Awardee on the proposed statement of work (SOW). The extent of cost sharing is a consideration in the evaluation of proposals.

As part of the submission, cost sharing is expected and may include labor, direct costs, and/or other direct costs (ODCs) associated with any aspect of the overall life cycle costs of the program. The cost sharing should be documented and illustrate the full costs sharing for the proposed effort.

For more information regarding cost share, please see Attachment 2.

2.9 Intellectual Property and Data Rights

Intellectual Property (IP) rights for RRPV Project Awards will be defined in the terms of a Project Awardee's Base Agreement. The RRPV CMF reserves the right to assist in the negotiation of IP, royalties, licensing, future development, etc., between the Government and the Project Awardees during the entire award period.

The Offeror shall comply with the terms and conditions defined in the RRPV Base Agreement regarding Data Rights. It is anticipated that anything delivered under this proposed effort would be delivered consistent with terms of the base OT as defined in the RRPV Base Agreement unless otherwise specified in the proposal and agreed to by the Government. All proposed data rights are subject to Government review and approval. Rights in technical data agreed to by the Government will be incorporated into the Project Award.

The Offeror shall indicate in its Proposal submission its acceptance of the terms and conditions defined in the RRPV Base Agreement regarding intellectual property and data rights.

The Offeror shall complete the table provided in Attachment 1, Technical Proposal, for any items to be furnished to the Government with restrictions. An example is provided below. If the Offeror does not assert data rights on any items, a negative response in Attachment 1 is required.

Technical Data to be Furnished with Restrictions	Basis for Assertion	Asserted Rights Category	Name of Organization Asserting Restrictions	Milestone # Affected
Technical Data Description	Previously developed exclusively at private expense	Limited	Organization XYZ	Milestone 2

3 Proposals

3.1 Question and Answer Period

Date	Event
30 Nov 2023	RPP released
07 Dec 2023 EOB	Questions due from proposers
12 Dec 2023 EOB	Questions & Answers released (can be approx.)
10 Jan 2024 3PM ET	Proposals due

Please submit questions to rrpv-contracts@ati.org.

3.2 Proposal General Instructions

Offerors who submit Proposals in response to this RPP must submit by the date on the cover page of this RPP. Proposals received after the time and date specified may not be evaluated.

The Proposal format provided in this RRPV RPP is mandatory and shall reference this RPP number. Offerors are encouraged to contact the Point of Contact (POC) identified herein up until the Proposal submission date/time to clarify requirements.

The Government will evaluate Proposals submitted and will select the Proposal(s) that best meets their current technology priorities using the criteria in Section 5.

All eligible Offerors shall submit Proposals for evaluation according to the criteria set forth in this RPP. Offerors are advised that only ATI, as the RRPV's CMF, with the approval of the Other Transaction Agreements Officer, is legally authorized to contractually bind or otherwise commit funding for selected Project Awards as result of this RPP.

3.3 Proposal Submission

Proposals shall be submitted by the date and time specified on the cover page to the following website. Include this RRPV Solicitation Number on each Proposal submitted.

Do not submit any classified information in the Proposal submission.

Offerors shall submit files in Microsoft Word, Microsoft Excel, or Adobe Acrobat (PDF – portable and searchable document format) formats as indicated below. ZIP files and other application formats are not acceptable. All files must be print-capable and without a password required. Filenames shall

contain the appropriate filename extension (.docx, .doc, .xlsx, or .pdf). Filenames should not contain special characters. IOS users must ensure the entire filename and path are free of spaces and special characters.

A receipt confirmation will be provided by email. Offerors may submit, or re-submit, in advance of the deadline. Neither the Government nor the RRPV CMF will make allowances/exceptions for submission problems encountered by the Offeror using system-to-system interfaces. If the Offeror fails to submit the full submission prior to the deadline, the submission may not be accepted. It is the Offeror's responsibility to ensure a timely and complete submission.

3.4 Proposal Preparation Cost

The cost of preparing Proposals in response to this RPP is not considered a direct charge to any resulting award or any other contract.

3.5 Submission Format

Proposals shall reference this RPP number. <u>Each document below</u> (e.g., <u>Technical Proposal, Cost Proposal Narrative</u>, <u>Cost Proposal Format</u>, and <u>Statement of Work</u>) is <u>mandatory and must each be submitted as separate files</u> and shall remain valid for 180 days unless otherwise specified by the Offeror in the proposal. Offerors are encouraged to contact the RRPV CMF with any questions so that all aspects are clearly understood by both parties. The proposal should include the following:

- Technical Proposal submission (30-page limit, unless noted*) See Attachment 1: One signed Technical Proposal (.pdf, .doc or .docx). The mandatory template is provided as Attachment 1, and includes mandatory sections for a cover page*, information sheet*, executive summary and minimum eligibility requirements, technical approach, current and pending support, data rights*, and key personnel resumes*.
- Cost Proposal submission (no page limit) See Attachment 2: One Word (.docx or .doc) or PDF file for Section I: Cost Proposal Narrative is required using the mandatory template. Separately, Section II: Cost Proposal Format is required in Excel (.xlsx) format, with working formulas to the maximum extent practicable. See Section 3.5 of this RPP for additional information.
- Statement of Work/Milestone Payment Schedule (no page limit) See Attachment 3: One Word (.docx or .doc). The Offeror is required to provide a detailed SOW/Milestone Payment Schedule using the mandatory template provided as Attachment 3.

3.6 Cost Proposal

The Cost Proposal must include two sections, a Cost Proposal Narrative, and a Cost Proposal Format. Offerors are encouraged to use their own cost formats such that the necessary detail is provided. The RRPV CMF will make optional cost proposal formats available on the Members-Only RRPV website. The Cost Proposal formats are **NOT** mandatory.

Each cost should include direct costs and other necessary components as applicable, for example, fringe, General & Administrative Expense (G&A), Facilities & Administrative (F&A), Other Direct Costs (ODC), etc. Offerors shall provide a breakdown of material and ODC costs as applicable.

3.7 Restrictions on Animal and Human Subjects

Project Awardees must comply with restrictions and reporting requirements for the use of animal and human subjects, as addressed in further detail in the RRPV Base Agreement. It is anticipated that the Project Award(s) issued under this RPP will require the following:

- The Project Awardee shall serve as regulatory product sponsor and be responsible for any regulatory submissions to FDA.
- Effective July 17, 2023, BARDA will automatically issue a Certificate to all BARDA funded research commenced on or after July 17, 2023, that is within the scope of the BARDA Policy Notice No. BARDA-CoC-001-2023 – Issuing Certificates
- Support and maintain regulatory submissions throughout life of the project.
- The Project Awardee must submit to the Government all regulatory and supporting documentation related to Phase 2b Clinical Execution, to include manufacturing, lot releasing, certificates of analysis, analytical development, stability, nonclinical and clinical testing as well as other related documentation.
- The Project Awardee shall cross-reference any applicable regulatory files, such as INDs, Master Files, and BLA prior to the conduct of the studies, and shall allow cross-referencing of these documents associated with this effort.
- For research involving human subjects, HHS human subject protection regulations and policies require that any institution engaged in non-exempt human subjects research conducted or supported by HHS must submit a written assurance of compliance to Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP). Under an Federal Wide Assurance, an institution commits to HHS that it will comply with the requirements set forth in 45 CFR part 46, as well as the Terms of Assurance.

Additional information on the applicable regulatory terms is provided in the RRPV Base Agreement.

These restrictions include mandatory government review and reporting processes that will impact the Offeror's schedule.

4 Technical Requirements

4.1 Introduction (Attachment A)

For scheduling and pricing purposes, Offerors should assume that all activities may occur concurrently to support cost and schedule savings.

This project supports advancing, either directly or indirectly, the clinical development of COVID-19 vaccines. Specifically, this effort covers the scope of executing Phase 2b Clinical Trials for Next Generation COVID-19 Vaccines. It is expected that offerors may be at various stages of readiness

for Phase 2b execution and may have alternative sources of funding from BARDA or other stakeholders. Thus, there are two "tracks" identified in which Track 1 includes direct clinical trial management and Track 2 that includes clinical regulatory support and a partnership with a BARDA-awarded CRO. Both Track 1 and Track 2 include exploratory assays and endpoints work. Please note that BARDA has parallel investments directly through CROs for trial execution. Offerors may provide a proposal to either track or both tracks.

Vaccine candidate must include one or more of the following aspects: mucosal administration; inclusion of spike protein and at least one additional non-spike SARS-CoV-2 antigen; and/or contain more than two different RBDs. The scope is explicitly limited to the execution of a Phase 2b clinical trial.

The Offeror is expected to collaborate, to include immunogenicity analyses and correlates of protection, at a central laboratory determined by USG. The Offeror is also expected to collaborate to include sequencing of viruses, including the identification of variants of concern, at a sequencing laboratory determined by USG. The Offeror is expected to have available sufficient clinical trial material from an appropriate scale of manufacturing to support the proposed Phase 2b study, as any manufacturing activities are outside of the scope at no additional cost to BARDA.

Proposed programs are expected to complete Phase 2b key planning activities in partnership with BARDA, including, but not limited to, that an Investigational New Drug (IND) is in effect for the Phase 2b, and that status has been confirmed by BARDA regulatory. Some Phase 2b planning activities (conduct site readiness activities, for example) may be under the identified teaming structure with Clinical Research Organizations (CROs) should the offeror choose to team for that capability.

As part of the RPP evaluation process, BARDA may evaluate and recommend suggested Track(s), depending on availability of funding or other capability considerations. BARDA encourages Offerors to explore pathways that involve teaming with other current awardees, such as CROs, if that accomplishes a more robust solution to ensure applicability to selected Track(s).

4.2 Track 1: Phase 2b Clinical Trial Execution – Management.

Offerors must demonstrate the ability to begin Phase 2b trial no later than March 31, 2024, including a master schedule outlining date of Investigative New Drug (IND) submission with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA); chemistry, manufacturing, and controls (CMC).

Offerors will be responsible for direct management of Phase 2b execution, to include the following:

- Execute Phase 2b clinical trial comparing sponsor candidate to a USlicensed/authorized COVID-19 vaccine.
 - Conduct Site Readiness activities
 - Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval confirmed and, if applicable, single Institutional Review Board (sIRB)
 - Confirm site contract(s) in place
 - Confirm that assay procedures that need to be completed for all study points are

- in place (safety, screening, and immunogenicity labs)
- Develop Statistical Analysis Final Plan
- Confirm data management system and/or data management process for all aspects for all study aspects of the study have been completed
- Conduct study initiation visit
- Confirm that National Library of Medicine (NLM) posting has occurred / clinical trial reporting
- Confirm that materials and supplies are sourced and onsite
- Confirm study product is available and onsite
- Execute Phase 2b clinical trial
- Conduct study Close-out at sites
- Conduct sample analysis for primary and secondary endpoints (note: performers may provide their own immunogenicity analysis plans, but it is expected that aliquots of all samples will be made available for testing at central USG-supported labs)
- Perform database lock
- Perform data analysis
- Produce Clinical Study Report within 3 months of database lock
- Provide and execute Material Transfer Agreement (MTA) prior to scheduling sample transfer to BARDA
- Transfer clinical data and samples to BARDA-supported CROs and labs
- Provide weekly (dashboard) reporting to BARDA-supported CROs
- Perform key regulatory activities, including but not limited to:
 - o Provide a Regulatory Plan that outlines the regulatory strategy for the product
 - Request and participate in regulatory meetings with US FDA, as needed
 - Participate in study initiation visit and other clinical site visits or audits, as required
 - Ensure study product is available and onsite
 - Perform annual IRB/IND reporting
- Provide Investigational Brochure
- Additional analyses and regulatory support for Phase 2b clinical trial.
 - Analyze exploratory endpoints
 - Conduct unplanned additional analyses
- Clarification of a Priced Option: Pending funding availability and if requested by BARDA, production of Phase 2b clinical trial material in line with the notional Fall 2024 COVID-19 strain change, to support a fall 2024 trial start date for a trial conducted in partnership with a BARDA awarded CRO.

4.3 Track 2: Phase 2b Clinical Trial Execution – Partnership.

Offerors must demonstrate the ability to begin Phase 2b trial no later than October 1, 2024, including a master schedule outlining date of IND submission with the FDA, and CMC.

Phase 2b execution will occur in partnership with a BARDA-awarded CRO. The BARDA-awarded CRO will manage execution of the Phase 2b trial. The Offeror will serve as the sponsor of the Phase 2b IND and will conduct regulatory and other actions necessary to complete Phase 2b execution, to include the following:

- Conduct regulatory and other actions necessary to complete Phase 2b clinical trial execution.
 Activities include, but are not limited to:
 - Provide a Regulatory Plan that outlines the regulatory strategy for the product
 - Request and participate in regulatory meetings with US FDA, as needed
 - Participate in study initiation visit and other clinical site visits or audits, as required
 - Ensure study product is available and onsite
 - Perform annual IRB/IND reporting
 - Participate in study Close-out at sites
 - Participate in and perform any necessary database activities, as required
 - Participate in production of Clinical Study Report, as required
- Additional analyses and regulatory support for Phase 2b clinical trial
 - Analyze exploratory endpoints
 - Conduct unplanned additional analyses
- Clarification of a Priced Option: Pending funding availability and if requested by BARDA, production of Phase 2b clinical trial material in line with the notional Fall 2024 COVID-19 strain change, to support a fall 2024 trial start date for a trial conducted in partnership with a BARDA awarded CRO.

Flexible teaming partnerships with BARDA awarded CROs for execution of the Phase 2b clinical trials are encouraged as that arrangement aligns with the Offeror's capabilities. If an Offeror wishes to use that teaming arrangement, it is suggested that the Offeror should propose a plan that includes regulatory activities as well as any exploratory analyses.

4.4 Project Management Objectives for Track 1 and Track 2

It is anticipated that the performer will be required to submit a number of documents to capture the progression of the project, post-award. Offerors shall provide deliverables as included in Attachment 3, Statement of Work. Requirements may include, but are not limited to, the following:

Reporting

 The performer shall deliver monthly technical and financial reports and progress reports, to including a master schedule. Annual reports shall also be provided. At the end of the effort, the performer shall provide a detailed clinical study report, and a final technical and business report.

2. Additional deliverables will include:

- a. Those as described in the Deliverables Table in Attachment 3, Section 4 (Attachment B).
- b. Inclusion of the U.S. Government in FDA meetings.
- c. Submission of all read-ahead packages for FDA meetings ahead of time.
- d. Records of any and all communications with the FDA.
- e. Clinical trial agreement with BARDA should the offeror utilize the direct funding to CROs

Meetings

- The performer shall schedule regular, recurring progress meetings with the Government which should be expected as a weekly occurrence plus ad hoc meetings when necessary.
- 2. The meeting agenda shall be submitted to the Government in advance and meeting minutes will be submitted following meetings.

Logistics Objectives

1. The performer shall be responsible for (sub) contracting or executing all intellectual property, material, and sample shipments and maintenance of all associated records and permits.

Performance Requirements

Submission and maintenance of CMC, non-clinical, and clinical documentation for regulatory filings (pre-IND/IND) that support a regulatory strategy to achieve FDA licensure. While CMC and nonclinical activities are outside the scope of this SOO, information may be required in order to advise on the program and provide appropriate oversight and guidance.

5 Selection/Evaluation

5.1 Compliance Screening

The RRPV CMF will conduct a preliminary screening of submitted Proposals to ensure compliance with the RPP requirements. As part of the preliminary screening process, Proposals that do not meet the requirements of the RPP may be eliminated from the competition or additional information may be requested by the RRPV CMF. The Government reserves the right to request additional information or eliminate proposals that do not meet these requirements from further consideration.

5.2 Proposal Evaluation Process

Following the preliminary screening, the Government sponsor will perform source selection using the evaluation factors detailed below. The Government will conduct an evaluation of all qualified Proposals.

Qualified Proposals will be evaluated by a panel of subject matter experts (SMEs) who will make recommendations to a Source Selection Authority.

This process may involve the use of contractors as SME consultants or reviewers. Where appropriate, the USG will employ non-disclosure agreements to protect information contained in the RPP. An Offeror's submission of a Proposal under this RPP indicates concurrence with the aforementioned use of contractors and SMEs.

Evaluation of proposals will be based on an independent, comprehensive review and assessment of the work proposed against stated source selection criteria and evaluation factors. The Government will evaluate each proposal against the evaluation factors detailed below and assign adjectival ratings to the non-cost/price factor(s) as discussed below. The Offeror shall clearly state how it intends to meet and, if possible, exceed the RPP requirements. Mere acknowledgement or restatement of a RPP requirement is not acceptable, unless specifically stated otherwise.

The evaluation factors and evaluation criteria are described below.

For each evaluated proposal, the non-cost/price factors will each be assigned one of the following adjectival merit ratings:

- Outstanding
- Good
- Acceptable
- Marginal
- Unacceptable

Once an Offeror has submitted a Proposal, the Government and the RRPV CMF will not discuss evaluation/status until the evaluation results have been provided to the Offerors.

5.3 Evaluation Factors

The Government will evaluate the information provided in each Offeror's Proposal to determine which Proposal(s) provide(s) the best value to the Government. Such a determination will be based on the following criteria for both Track 1 and Track 2:

Factor 1 - Technical Approach: This factor evaluates the relevancy, thoroughness, completeness, and feasibility of the proposed approach.

Factor 2 — Cost/Price: (See Section 5.4 below)

Factor 3 – Relevant Experience: This factor evaluates the offeror's demonstrated organizational experience, as well as the technical and management experience of the proposed team to perform the proposed work. The Government may also consider information in Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS), and the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS) or similar systems.

Evaluation factors are listed in descending order of importance.

Following the evaluation, the Source Selection Authority may:

- 1. Select the proposal (or some portion of the proposal) for award
- 2. Place the proposal in the Basket if funding currently is unavailable; or
- 3. Reject the proposal (will not be considered for award and will not be placed in the Basket)

5.4 Cost/Price Evaluation

The Cost Proposal will receive a narrative rating to determine whether costs are realistic, reasonable, and complete.

If a proposal is selected for award, the RRPV CMF will evaluate the estimated cost proposed by the Offeror for performing all requirements outlined in this RPP. Evaluation will include analysis of the proposed cost together with all supporting information. The RRPV CMF will request additional information or clarification as necessary. The RRPV CMF will assess the reasonableness and completeness of the cost estimates and then provide a formal assessment to the Government. The Government will review this assessment and make the final determination that the project value is fair and reasonable, subject to final Government negotiations.

Proposals will be evaluated using the understanding of cost realism, reasonableness and completeness as outlined below:

a) Realism. Proposals will be evaluated to determine if Costs are realistic for the work to be performed, reflect a clear understanding of the requirements, and are consistent with the various elements of the Offeror's schedule proposal.

Estimates are "realistic" when they are neither excessive nor insufficient for the effort to be accomplished. Estimates must also be realistic for each phase of the proposed project when compared to the total proposed cost.

The RRPV CMF will make a determination by directly comparing proposed costs with comparable current and historical data, evaluator experience, available estimates, etc. Proposed estimates will be compared with the corresponding technical proposals for consistency.

b) Reasonableness. The Offeror's cost proposal will be evaluated to determine if it is reasonable. For a price to be reasonable, it must represent a price to the Government that a prudent person would pay in the conduct of competitive business. Normally, price reasonableness is established through cost and price analysis.

To be considered reasonable, the Offeror's cost estimate should be developed from applicable historic cost data. The Offeror should show that sound, rational judgment was used in deriving and applying cost methodologies. Appropriate narrative explanation and justification should be

provided for critical cost elements. The overall estimate should be presented in a coherent, organized, and systematic manner.

Costs provided shall be clearly attributable to activities or materials as described by the Offeror. Costs should be broken down in the Cost Proposal Format. An optional template is located on the Members-Only RRPV website.

c) Completeness. The RRPV CMF will evaluate whether the proposal clearly and thoroughly documents the rationale supporting the proposed cost and is compliant with the requirements of the solicitation.

The proposal should clearly and thoroughly document the cost/price information supporting the proposed cost in sufficient detail and depth. The RRPV CMF will evaluate whether the Offeror's cost proposal is complete with respect to the work proposed. The RRPV CMF will consider substantiation of proposed cost (i.e., supporting data and estimating rationale) for all elements.

Rate and pricing information is required to properly perform the cost analysis of the proposal. If the Offeror is unwilling to provide this information in a timely manner, its proposal will be lacking information that is required to properly evaluate the proposal and the proposal may not be selected for award.

5.5 Best Value

The Government will conduct the source selection based on the evaluation criteria and ratings listed above. The overall award decision will be based upon a Best Value determination by considering and comparing factors in addition to cost or price. Funding recommendations depend on various factors and programmatic relevance. Based on the evaluation of the Technical Approach, Relevant Experience, and Cost/Price, the Government reserves the right to negotiate and request changes to any or all parts of the SOW. Offerors will have the opportunity to concur with the requested changes, propose further changes and revise cost proposals, as necessary.

5.6 Basket Provision

The electronic "Basket" is an innovative acquisition tool. Proposals rated as Acceptable through Outstanding, but not immediately selected for award, may be placed in the Basket (at the Government's sole discretion) for 2 years and eligible for award during that time. Proposals rated as Unacceptable will not be placed in the Basket and will not be eligible for future award. If awarding from the Basket, the Government reserves the right to award whichever proposal best meets its needs.

6 Points of Contact

 Questions related to this RPP should be directed to Ms. Rebecca Harmon (Rebecca.harmon@ati.org and rrpv-contracts@ati.org) Once an Offeror has submitted a Proposal, the Government and the RRPV CMF will not discuss evaluation/status until the evaluation results have been provided to the Offerors.



ATTACHMENT 1 – TECHNICAL PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

General Instructions

The Technical Proposal must address the technical requirements described in the RPP in sufficient detail to permit evaluation from a technical perspective in accordance with the evaluation factors set forth in the RPP. The Technical Proposal shall be single-spaced, single-sided, and 8.5 x 11 inches, and 12-point font. Smaller type may be used in figures and tables, but must be clearly legible. Margins on all sides (top, bottom, left, and right) should be at least 1 inch. Offerors are strongly encouraged to use pictures and graphics to succinctly represent proposed ideas, organization, etc.

The Technical Proposal shall be limited to <u>30 pages</u> (unless otherwise noted below). Pages in excess of this limitation may not be considered. Offerors are advised that the number of pages should be commensurate with the degree of complexity of the proposed effort. It is expected, and encouraged, that less complex, less expensive proposals will be significantly less than 30 pages in length.

To ensure Technical Proposals receive proper consideration, the Technical Proposal format shown below is mandatory. If there are any items which are not applicable to a specific proposal, include the section topic in the proposal with a short explanation as to why it is not applicable.

- 1. Cover Page*
- 2. RRPV Member Organization Information Sheet*
- 3. Executive Summary & Minimum Eligibility Criteria
- 4. Technical Approach
- Current & Pending Support
- 6. Data Rights*
- 7. Resumes of Key Personnel*

^{*}Excluded from page limitation

1. Technical Proposal Cover Page

[Name of Offeror]
[Address of Offeror]

RPP Number XXXXXX

[Proposal Title]
[Track 1 or Track 2]

[Offeror] certifies that, if selected for award, the Offeror will abide by the terms and conditions of the RRPV Base Agreement.

[Offeror] certifies that this Proposal is valid for 180 days from the close of the applicable RPP, unless otherwise stated.

[As detailed in Section 2.6 of the Request for Project Proposals, Offerors are to include a proprietary data disclosure statement/legend if proprietary data is included. Sample: This Proposal includes data that shall not be disclosed outside the RRPV Consortium Management Firm and the Government. It shall not be duplicated, used, or disclosed, in whole or in part, for any purpose other than proposal evaluation and agreement administration. The data subject to this restriction is (clearly identify) and contained on pages (insert page numbers).]

Signature of responsible party for the Offeror	DATE

2. Member Information Sheet

If an item is not applicable, then that section should be listed as "not applicable."

OFFEROR NAME:	
ALL PLACES OF PERFORMANCE:	
TITLE OF PROPOSED EFFORT:	
UEI # (if applicable):	
CAGE CODE (if applicable):	
SMALL BUSINESS (YES/NO):	
SMALL/ DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS (YES/NO): SOCIOECONOMIC CATEGORY?	
CONFLICT OF INTEREST (YES/NO):	
TOTAL COST OF PROPOSAL:	
PROPOSED PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE IN MONTHS:	
PREFERRED PAYMENT METHOD (FFP, CPFF, Cost Reimbursable (CR), CR/COST SHARE):	
REQUESTED USE OF GOVERNMENT RESOURCES, PROPERTY, LABS, ETC. (YES/NO):	
PROPOSED USE OF ANIMAL SUBJECTS (YES/NO):	
PROPOSED USE OF HUMAN SUBJECT (YES/NO):	
PROPOSED USE OF HUMAN SPECIMEN MATERIAL (YES/NO):	
PROPOSED USE OF HUMAN FETAL TISSUE (YES/NO):	
PROPOSED USE OF LIVE VERTABRATE ANIMALS (YES/NO):	
PROPOSED USE OF SELECT BIOLOGICAL AGENTS OR TOXINS (YES/NO):	
CONTRACT/NEGOTIATION CONTACT (NAME, ADDRESS, PHONE, EMAIL):	
TECHNICAL/PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR CONTACT (NAME, ADDRESS, PHONE, EMAIL):	
COGNIZANT RATE AUDIT AGENCY OFFICE (IF KNOWN, INCLUDE POC, ADDRESS, PHONE #, E-MAIL):	

3. Executive Summary & Minimum Eligibility Requirements

[The Executive Summary allows Offerors to briefly and concisely present the important aspects of their proposals to evaluators. The summary should present an organized progression of the work to be accomplished, without the technical details, such that the reader can grasp the core concepts of the proposed project.]

[Additionally, this section <u>must address how the Offeror currently satisfies the following minimum eligibility requirement:]</u>

- A successful history of developing cGMP manufacturing, release testing, and completed/completing Phase 2b planning activities for vaccine clinical trials.
- Active or complete Phase 1 clinical trial Next-Generation COVID-19 Vaccine, with unblinded safety and immunogenicity data to support a Phase 2b trial.
 - To qualify as a Next-Generation COVID-19 Vaccine, offeror's must have a Vaccine candidate that includes one or more of the following aspects: mucosal administration, inclusion of spike protein and at least one additional non-spike SARS-CoV-2 antigen, and/or contain more than two different Receptor Binding Domains (RBDs).

4. Technical Approach

[Provide sufficient technical detail and analysis to support the technical solution being proposed for the project. Clearly identify the core of the intended approach. It is not effective simply to address a variety of possible solutions to the technology problems. Include citation to each Deliverable identified in the Statement of Work throughout the Technical Approach (e.g. (1.1)). Provide the following information:]

- **1. Background:** [Describe the problem that the proposal is addressing.]
- 2. Approach: [Describe your overarching approach and framework addressing the requirements set forth in the RPP. Include relevant background data and information on your platform or solution and listing the current status of your approach.]
- **3. Objectives:** [Specify the objectives of the proposed effort.]
- **4.** Past Experience: [Describe relative past experience, as well as the technical and management experience of the proposed team, to perform the proposed work]
- **5. Technical Strategy**: [Provide a detailed and stepwise approach on how your organization intends to address the requirements set forth in the RPP and show a clear course of action.]
- 6. Clinical Trial: [If a clinical trial is proposed as part of Technical Strategy, then include the following information as part of the technical approach. Clinical trials should be described in adequate detail to assess conformance with FDA regulations, guidance, and the requirements related to development and testing of biologics. This will include compliance with applicable portions of Title 21 of the US Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) including Title 21 CFR Parts 11, 50, 54, 56, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPPA) of 1996 (Pub.L. 104-

191, 110 Stat. 1936, enacted August 21, 1996), and International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) Guidelines for Good Clinical Practices (GCPs) (ICH Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice (E6), Published May 9, 1997).]

- Clinical Trial History: [If the proposed clinical trial/testing was initiated using other funding prior to this application, explain the history and background of the clinical trial/testing and declare the source of prior funding. Specifically identify the portions of the study that will be supported with funds from this award.]
- On-Going Effort: [If the proposed clinical trial/testing involves continuation or assumption of an ongoing effort then state the transition plan proposed (e.g., transfer of FDA Sponsorship). In the case of ongoing clinical trials, append or provide reference to previous FDA-regulated studies. Offeror must justify carefully any changes proposed to ongoing FDA-regulated protocols and provide specific rationale for alterations (e.g., FDA feedback, change in clinical resources or study sites, etc.)]
- FDA Interactions: [Describe plan to meet all regulatory sponsor responsibilities under International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) parts E6, E2A, E8, and 21 Code Federal Regulation parts 312, 11, 50, 54, 56 including regulatory writing and submissions support for clinical efforts, safety reporting, pharmacovigilance, clinical monitoring, data management, regulatory writing, and submissions, etc.]

Test Materials:

- Describe the clinical intervention, medical drug, biologic, device, or human exposure model to be tested and the projected outcomes or measures.
- Document the availability and accessibility of the drug/compound, device, or other materials needed for the proposed research.
- Describe the production/manufacturing plan for the test materials proposed.

Study Design/Clinical Protocol:

- Provide a description of the purpose and objectives of the study with detailed specific aims and/or study questions/ hypotheses to include the following details as applicable to the proposed work.
- Describe the type of study to be performed (e.g., prospective, randomized, controlled) and outline the proposed methodology in sufficient detail to show a clear course of action.
- Describe potential challenges and alternative strategies where appropriate. Define the study variables, outline why they were chosen, and describe how they will be measured. Include a description of appropriate controls and the endpoints to be tested.
- Describe the study population, criteria for inclusion/exclusion, and the methods that will be used for recruitment/accrual of human subjects and/or samples (e.g., convenience, simple random, stratified random).
- Describe the human subject-to-group assignment process (e.g., randomization, block randomization, stratified randomization, age-matched controls, alternating group, or other procedures), if applicable. Explain the

specific actions to accomplish the group assignment (e.g., computer assignment, use of table of random numbers).

- Statistical Plan and Data Analysis: [Describe the data collection plan, statistical model, and data analysis plan with respect to the study objectives. Specify the approximate number of human subjects to be enrolled or number of human samples to be studied. If multiple study sites are involved, state the approximate number to be enrolled or samples collected at each site. Include a complete power analysis to demonstrate that the sample size is appropriate to meet the objectives of the study. If a subpopulation of a sample population will be used for analysis, complete a statistical analysis to ensure appropriate power can be achieved within the subpopulation study.]
- Technical Risks: [Identify and describe potential problem areas in the proposed approach and alternative methods and approaches that will be employed to mitigate any risks that are identified.]
- Ethical Issues: [Include a clear and detailed description of the potential ethical issues raised by the proposed study and provide a detailed plan for how the ethical issues will be addressed.]
- Training/Proficiency Requirements: [Determination to ensure that personnel have appropriate training/competency.]
- **7. Anticipated Outcomes**: [Provide a description of the anticipated outcomes from the proposed work.]
- 8. Technical Maturity and Commercialization Strategy: [Provide a description and justification of the maturity of the proposed technology, anticipated regulatory pathway and commercialization plans. Include high-level information about Intellectual Property/Data Rights Assertions. Describe the planned indication for the product label, if appropriate, and include an outline of the development plan required to support that indication. The application should describe a transition plan (including potential funding and resources) showing how the product will progress to the next clinical trial phase and/or delivery to the market after the successful completion of this award.]
- **9. Organizational Conflict of Interest:** [An Organizational Conflict of Interest can occur when an individual or an entity is unable, or potentially unable, to provide impartial advice or service to the Government or separate entity because of other business activities or relationships. Disclose any potential conflict of interest pertaining to this opportunity. If none, state as such.]
- **10. Key Personnel:** [Identify the proposed management and technical personnel for the project using a summary table in the below format. Principal Investigator must be identified].

Key Personnel	Organization	Role and Key Contribution	Level of Effort
Name (Principal Investigator)			%
Name			%

Name		%
Name		%
Name		%

[Address the qualifications, capabilities, and experience of the proposed personnel who will be assigned to carry out the project. Ensure resumes of key personnel are provided in the "Resumes of Key Personnel" section. Resumes are excluded from page count limit]

- 11. Schedule: [Identify key technical, schedule, and cost risks, their potential impact and mitigation.]
- **12. Offeror Resources**: [Identify any key facilities, equipment and other resources proposed for the effort. Identified facilities, equipment and resources should be available and relevant for the technical solution being proposed.]
- **13. Government Resources**: [Identify any key Government facilities, Government equipment, Government property, etc. that your organization requests to use for the effort.]
- **14. Proposed Cost Share:** [If applicable, this section provides technical evaluators with information on any additional cost share proposed by the Offeror. If proposing cost share, identify deliverables that are associated with cost shared resources as well as the technical benefit resulting from this resource.]
- **15. Cost Realism:** [This section provides technical evaluators with high-level cost data in order for the evaluators to determine if the costs proposed are realistic as compared to the scope of work proposed. This information must be consistent with the Cost Proposal. The information must be provided in this section of the Technical Proposal. Include the following table as a summary of the costs by cost element.]

Cost Realism Form EXAMPLE

This form is to be completed by Offeror and evaluated by Technical Evaluators. Items in italics are provided as samples only. Offeror must complete table with the applicable information.

Cost Element	Total Proposed Cost	Description/Explanation
Labor	\$1,475,000	5000 hrs of senior scientist; 3000 hours of program management; 3000 of hours of
Labor Hours	\$14,750	contracts management; 3750 hours of scientist
Subcontractors	\$300,000	Sub A - \$150,000; 1500 legal advisor hours Sub B - \$150,000; 1500 hours of Testing
Subcontractor Hours	\$3,000	
Consultants	\$60,000	Financial consultant supporting all phases

Consultant Hours	\$600	
Material/Equipment	\$500,000	pipettes, gloves, computer software
Other Direct Costs	\$12,000	ship testing materials to lab
Travel	\$30,000	12 trips for 2 people for 2 days to Washington, DC from Charleston, SC for program meetings
Indirect Costs	\$475,400	approved by DHHS 30 Sept 23
Fee	\$0	Not applicable if cost share proposed
Total Cost to Government	\$2,852,400	
Cost Share	\$1,740,000	30,000 hours of lab assistant
Total Project Value	\$4,592,400	

5. Current & Pending Support

Current

Award Number:

Title:

Funding Agency/Requiring Activity:

Dates of Funding: Total Direct Costs:

Role: (i.e., Principal Investigator, Co-Investigator, etc.)

Brief summary of the scope of work:

Award Number:

Title:

Funding Agency/Requiring Activity:

Dates of Funding: Total Direct Costs:

Role: (i.e., Principal Investigator, Co-Investigator, etc.)

Brief summary of the scope of work:

[Add additional fields, if needed, to report all current support]

Pending

Title of Proposal:

Funding Agency/Requiring Activity:

Estimated Dates of Funding: Proposed Total Direct Costs:

Role: (i.e., Principal Investigator, Co-Investigator, etc.)

Brief summary of the scope of work:

Title of Proposal:

Funding Agency/Requiring Activity:

Estimated Dates of Funding:

Proposed Total Direct Costs:

Role: (i.e., Principal Investigator, Co-Investigator, etc.)

Brief summary of the scope of work:

[Add additional fields, if needed, to report all pending support]

6. Data Rights

[Failure to complete this attachment in its entirety (including a failure to provide the required signature) may result in removal from the competition and the proposal determined to be ineligible for award]

If the Offeror intends to provide technical data which existed prior to, or was produced outside of the proposed effort, to which the Offeror wishes to maintain additional rights, these rights should be asserted through the completion of the table below.

Note that this assertion is subject to negotiation prior to award.

If Offeror WILL be asserting data rights for the proposed effort, check this box and complete the table below, adding rows as necessary.

Technical Data to Be Furnished with Restrictions	Basis for Assertion	Asserted Rights Category	Name of Asserting Organization	Milestone Affected
	7 1			

If the Offeror will NOT be asserting data rights for the proposed ef	fort, check this box.
Signature of responsible party for the proposing Prime Offeror	DATE

7. Resumes of Key Personnel

Include the resumes of key personnel from the Offeror's organization, as well as subcontractors or consultants, who will work on this project if selected. The Principal Investigator must be identified.

ATTACHMENT 2 – COST PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

General Instructions

The objective of the Cost Proposal is to provide sufficient cost information to substantiate that the proposed cost is realistic, reasonable, and complete for the proposed work. The Cost Proposal should provide enough information to ensure that a complete and fair evaluation of the reasonableness and realism of cost or price can be conducted and reflect the best estimate of the costs for the project. The Cost Proposal must be consistent with information provided in the Technical Proposal (i.e., costs, cost share, dates, etc.). Proposals that deviate substantially from these guidelines or that omit substantial parts or sections may be found non-responsive and may be eliminated from further review and funding consideration.

To ensure Cost Proposals receive proper consideration, it is mandatory that the Cost Proposal include the information below.

Section I: Cost Proposal Narrative

a. Cover Page

b. Overview

c. Cost Information

Section II: Cost Proposal Format

The Cost Proposal Narrative is used to assess various criteria. This section will be used to determine reasonableness, allowability, and allocability of costs. The Cost Proposal Narrative section should provide a more detailed breakdown of the figures that are contained in the Cost Proposal Format. The Cost Proposal Narrative section also should give substantiation and written explanation of proposed costs. Breakdowns should be as accurate and specific as possible. Ensure that any figures presented in this part are consistent with the figures in the Cost Proposal Format.

Separately, the Cost Proposal Format must be provided in Excel, with working formulas to the maximum extent practicable. Optional formats are available on the Members Only website. However, Offerors are encouraged to use their own formats so long as the required level of detail is provided.

1. Cost Proposal Cover Page

[Name of Offeror]
[Address of Offeror]

RPP Number XXXXXX

[Proposal Title]
[Track 1 or Track 2]

[Offeror] certifies that, if selected for award, the Offeror will abide by the terms and conditions of the RRPV Base Agreement.

[Offeror] certifies that this Proposal is valid for 180 days from the close of the applicable RPP, unless otherwise stated.

[As detailed in Section 2.6 of the Request for Project Proposals, Offerors are to include a proprietary data disclosure statement/legend if proprietary data is included. Sample:

This Proposal includes data that shall not be disclosed outside the RRPV Consortium Management Firm and the Government. It shall not be duplicated, used, or disclosed, in whole or in part, for any purpose other than proposal evaluation and agreement administration. The data subject to this restriction is (clearly identify) and contained on pages (insert page numbers).]

Signature of responsible party for the Offeror	DATE

2. Cost Proposal Section I: Cost Proposal Narrative Template

1. Cost Proposal Narrative Overview

[The Cost Proposal Narrative must include sufficient information to evaluate the proposed value through cost information. This information is required to properly perform the cost and/or price analysis of a proposal. Proposals without this information cannot be properly evaluated and may be eliminated from selection for award. All Proposals must provide the following information as part of the Cost Proposal Narrative Overview:]

- 1. Overall Approach. [Provide an overall and succinct explanation of how this Proposal is justified.]
- **2. Assumptions.** [Provide any assumptions. Note that assumptions should be limited to cost or pricing. Technical assumptions are better captured in the Statement of Work.]
- 3. Preferred Payment Method. [Identify which of the payment methods is preferred. The methods are (1) Cost Reimbursable Milestones (with ceiling), (2) Cost Reimbursable/Cost Sharing Milestones (with ceiling), (3) Cost Plus Fixed Fee Milestones (with ceiling) and (4) Fixed Price Milestones (with ceiling).]
- **4. Total Cost by Phase Cost Elements.** [Include a list of each phase that is stated in the Statement of Work and its associated total cost by year. The sum of the phases must equal the total listed in the Cost Proposal Formats.]
- 5. Cost Share. [Cost Share includes any costs a reasonable person would incur to carry out (necessary to) proposed project's Statement of Work not directly paid for by the Government.] If a proposal includes cost share, then it cannot include fee. Cost Share may be proposed only on cost type agreements. There are two types of cost sharing: Cash Contribution and In-Kind Contribution.

Cash Contribution:

Cash Contribution means the Project Awardee (or Awardees' lower tier subawards) financial resources expended to perform a Project Award. The cash contribution may be derived from the Project Awardee (or Awardees' subawards) funds or outside sources or from nonfederal contract or grant revenues or from profit or fee on a federal procurement contract.

An Offeror's own source of funds may include corporate retained earnings, current or prospective Independent Research and Development (IR&D) funds or any other indirect cost pool allocation. New or concurrent IR&D funds may be utilized as a cash contribution provided those funds identified by the Offeror will be spent on performance of the Statement of Work (SOW) of a Project Award or specific tasks identified within the SOW of a Project Award. Prior IR&D funds will not be considered as part of the Offeror's Cost Share.

Cash contributions include the funds the Offeror will spend for labor (including benefits and direct overhead), materials, new equipment (prorated if appropriate), awardees' subaward efforts expended on the SOW of a Project Award, and restocking the parts and material consumed.

In-Kind Contribution:

In Kind Contribution means the Offeror's non-financial resources expended to perform a Project Award such as wear-and-tear on in-place capital assets like machinery or the prorated value of space used for performance of the Project Award, and the reasonable fair market value (appropriately prorated) of equipment, materials, IP, and other property used in the performance of the SOW of the Project Award.

Prior IR&D funds will not be considered as part of the Consortium Member's cash or In-Kind contributions, except when using the same procedures as those that authorize Pre-Award Costs, nor will fees be considered on cost share.

If cost share is proposed, the following must be provided:

- A description of each cost share item proposed;
- Proposed dollar value of each cost share item proposed; and
- The valuation technique used to derive the cost share amounts (e.g., vendor quote, historical cost, labor hours and labor rates, number of trips, etc.).]

2. Cost Proposal Narrative Cost Data

[The Cost Proposal Narrative must include the following cost categories and details, at a minimum.]

1. Labor Rates. [Portions of labor information may be included in the Cost Proposal Format instead of this Cost Proposal Narrative if more practical. Identify the position title of all personnel, the labor category description, the hourly rate for each individual, and show estimated hours for each labor category proposed. If an approved organizational estimating procedure use average labor rates for specific labor categories, this would be acceptable.

It is recognized that an organization may not be able to identify all of the personnel to be assigned to the project several years in advance. Where this cannot be done, use generic position titles such as "scientist." If direct labor costs include allocated direct costs or other direct costs in accordance with established accounting and estimating practices and systems, identify these costs separately and provide an explanation and basis for proposed costs.

Provide an explanation for any proposed labor escalation.

Offerors are expected to avoid overtime as much as practicable, except when lower overall costs to the Government will result or when it is necessary to meet urgent program needs. If overtime is proposed, provide an explanation as to why.]

2. Salary Rate Limitation. [Payment of the direct salary of an individual at a rate in excess of the Federal Executive Schedule Level is an unallowable cost under the RRPV OTA and shall be addressed in accordance the RRPV Base Agreement.

For purposes of the salary rate limitation, the terms "direct salary," "salary," and "institutional base salary" have the same meaning and are collectively referred to as "direct salary." An individual's direct salary is the annual compensation that the entity pays for an individual's direct effort (costs). Direct salary excludes any income that an individual may be permitted to earn outside of duties to the entity. Direct salary also excludes fringe benefits, overhead, and general and administrative expenses (also referred to as indirect costs or facilities and administrative [F&A] costs).

The salary rate limitation does not restrict the salary that an entity may pay an individual, it merely limits the portion of that salary that may be paid with Federal funds.

See the salaries and wages pay tables on the U.S. Office of Personnel Management Web site for Federal Executive Schedule salary levels that apply to the current period. See the RRPV Base Agreement for further details.]

- **3. Fringe Benefits.** [Identify whether or not the proposed labor rates include fringe costs. If so, then identify the percentage rate. If not, then provide a statement to that effect and include the fringe costs in the indirect section instead.]
- 4. Travel. [Portions of travel information may be included in the Cost Proposal Format instead of this Cost Proposal Narrative if more practical. Identify the total travel amount proposed. Provide an estimate of the cost per trip; number of trips; number of days; number of persons; departure city, destination city; approximate travel time frames; and the purpose of the travel. The key is to apply best estimating techniques that are auditable. Include a brief explanation of the methodology used to estimate travel costs. If exact destination is unknown at time of proposal, for pricing purposes use a potential location using best known information. Note that RRPV project awardees are expected to be cost-conscious regarding travel (e.g., using coach rather than first class accommodations and, whenever possible, using Government per diem, or similar regulations, as a guideline for lodging and subsistence costs). If travel is estimated based on an approved methodology, then state as such.]
- **5. Subcontractors/Consultants.** [Portions of subcontractor/consultant information may be included in the Cost Proposal Format instead of this Cost Proposal Narrative if more practical.

Provide a list of all subcontractor/consultant and a total cost for each. If a cost and/or price analysis has been performed, provide a copy or summary of results.

Support is required for each subcontractor/consultant as follows:

- If a subcontractor/consultant is based on commercial pricing, provide an explanation of the commerciality determination and supporting documentation (e.g., website pricing, catalogue pricing, etc.)
- For a subcontractor/consultant less than \$250,000, provide a brief explanation of the work to be performed.
- For a subcontractor/consultant greater than \$250,000 and less than or equal to \$2,000,000, provide a supporting quote and confirmation of compliance with the Salary Rate Limitation.
- If a subcontractor/consultant over \$2,000,000 was competitively solicited, provide the price analysis showing how the price was determined reasonable, summary of competition, and copies of the competitive quotes.
- Absent any of the above, if relying on cost data for a subcontractor/consultant greater than \$2,000,000, a cost-by-cost element breakout must be provided to the same level of detail as the Offeror.]
- 6. Material/Equipment/Other Direct Costs. [Portions of the material/equipment/other direct cost information may be included in the Cost Proposal Format instead of this Cost Proposal Narrative if more practical. Provide an itemized list of the material/equipment/other direct costs, including the itemized unit cost and quantity. Identify the supplier/manufacturer and basis of cost (i.e., vendor quote, catalog pricing data, past purchase orders, etc.) for each item, if known. Additionally, a copy of the basis of cost documentation for each piece of proposed material/equipment/other direct cost with a unit cost greater than or equal to \$25,000; or total cost greater than or equal to \$150,000; must be provided. If material/equipment/other direct cost is estimated based on an approved methodology, then state as such.

If any sort of usage cost is determined by a rate, identify the basis and rational used to derive the rate.

Only in extraordinary circumstances will government funds be used to purchase equipment. Examples of acceptable equipment might include special test equipment, special tooling, or other specialized equipment specific to the research effort. This award is not an assistance agreement/instrument and Offerors should normally have the required equipment to perform. The value of equipment should be prorated according to the share of total use dedicated to carrying out the proposed work. Include a brief explanation of the prorating methodology used.]

- **7. Indirect Costs.** [Portions of the indirect cost information may be included in the Cost Proposal Format instead of this Cost Proposal Narrative if more practical. Provide an estimate of the total indirect costs, identify each rate used in the proposal, and provide documentation to support the indirect cost rates by one of the below methods.
 - a. Provide a copy of certification from a Federal agency indicating these indirect rates are approved by the Federal agency; or
 - b. Provide a letter from the Offeror's Administrative Contracting Officer, in lieu of a rate certificate, stating these indirect rates are approved by a Federal agency;
 - c. Copy of current forward pricing rate proposal with date proposal was submitted to the Administrative Contracting Officer; or
 - d. Absent Government approved rates, provide detailed supporting data to include (1) indirect rates and all pricing factors that were used; (2) methodology used for determining the rates (e.g., current experience in the organization or the history base used); and (3) all factors, by year, applied to derive the proposed rates.

Alternately, in lieu of providing indirect rates, if the Offeror can obtain appropriate Government assistance, it may provide a letter from the cognizant Federal audit agency stating that, based upon their review of the Offeror's proposal, the indirect rates used in the proposal are approved by a Federal agency and were applied correctly in this specific proposal. If the Offeror elects to rely on these Government inputs, it is responsible for ensuring any Government agency cooperation is obtained so that the proposal is complete when submitted.]

- 8. Cost of Money. [If applicable, Cost of Money should be proposed separately from indirect costs.]
- **9. Fee/Profit.** [State the fee/profit percentage, if proposed. Fee/Profit is allowable for the effort being conducted when cost share is not being contributed. The fees shall be specific to the individual RRPV project and negotiated on a project-by-project basis.]

3. Cost Proposal Section II: Cost Proposal Format

[The Cost Proposal Format must be provided as a separate Excel document. Offerors are encouraged to use their own Excel cost formats so long as the necessary cost detail is provided. Working formulas should be included to the maximum extent possible. The Cost Proposal Formats provided on the RRPV Members Only Site are **NOT** mandatory.

The Cost Proposal Format section must include a breakout of the total cost proposed by cost element for each year of the program. If required by the RPP, costs must also be broken out by Phase stated in the Statement of Work. The sum of the Phases must equal the total.

Supporting data and justification for labor, equipment/material, team member/subcontractor, consultants, travel, other direct costs, indirect costs, and profit used in developing the cost breakdown also must be included. The Offeror must provide sufficient details to allow a full

understanding of and justification for the proposed costs. Offerors must refer to the RPP for a start date for cost estimating purposes.]



ATTACHMENT 3 – STATEMENT OF WORK (SOW) TEMPLATE

[The SOW developed by the Lead RRPV member organization and included in the proposal (also submitted as a separate document) is intended to be incorporated into a binding agreement if the proposal is selected for award. If no SOW is submitted with the proposal, there may be no award. The proposed SOW shall contain a summary description of the technical methodology as well as the task description, but not in so much detail as to make the contract inflexible. The following is the required format for the SOW.]

Statement of Work

Submitted under Request for Project Proposals (RPP NUMBER)
Proposed Project Title:
RRPV Member Organization Name:
RRPV Member Primary Place of Performance:

- **1.0 Introduction/Background** [To be provided initially by the Offeror at the time of proposal submission. Submitted information is subject to change through negotiation if the Government selects the proposal for funding.]
- **2.0 Scope/Project Objective** [To be provided initially by the Offeror at the time of proposal submission. Submitted information is subject to change through negotiation if the Government selects the proposal for funding.]

This section includes a statement of what the project covers. This should include the technology area to be investigated, the objectives/goals, and major milestones for the effort.

3.0 Requirements [To be provided initially by the Offeror at the time of proposal submission to be finalized by the Government based on negotiation of Scope/Project Objective].

State the technology objective in the first paragraph and follow with delineated tasks required to meet the overall project goals. The work effort should be segregated into major phases, then tasks and identified in separately numbered paragraphs (similar to the numbered breakdown of these paragraphs). Early phases in which the performance definition is known shall be detailed by subtask with defined work to be performed. Planned incrementally funded phases will require broader, more flexible tasks that are priced up front, and adjusted as required during execution and/or requested by the Government to obtain a technical solution. Tasks will need to track with established adjustable cost or fixed price milestones for payment schedule. Each major task included in the SOW should be priced separately in the cost proposal. Subtasks need not be priced separately in the cost proposal.

4.0 Deliverables [To be provided initially by the Offeror at the time of proposal submission. Submitted information is subject to change through negotiation if the Government selects the proposal for funding.]

Results of the technical effort are contractually binding and shall be identified herein. Offerors are advised to read the Base Agreement carefully. Any and all hardware/software to be provided to the Government as a result of this project shall be identified. Deliverables should be submitted in PDF or MS Office format. It must be clear what information will be included in a deliverable either through a descriptive title or elaborating text.

Below are the following minimum deliverables for this RPP (Attachment B):

1. Meetings

#	Deliverable	Deliverable Description	Reporting Procedures and Due Dates
1.1		The Performer must complete an initial teleconference after the initiation of the period of performance. 1. Outline activities for the next 30 days 2. Discuss agenda items for the post-award Kickoff Meeting	 Within 5 business days after the initiation of the Project Award period of performance Performer must submit agenda and establish a teleconference number at least 3 business days in advance of the teleconference unless notified that BARDA will supply a teleconference number PAR edits/approves and instructs Performer to distribute agenda at least 2 business days prior to meeting Performer submits meeting minutes to PAR within 3 business days after the meeting PAR reviews, comments, and approves minutes within 10 business days
1.2	Kickoff Meeting	The Performer must complete a Kickoff meeting after the initiation of the period of performance.	Within 10 business days after the initiation of the period of performance, pending concurrence by the OTAO

			 Performer must submit agenda and itinerary, if applicable, at least 5 business days in advance of in-person meeting or teleconference PAR edits/approves and instructs Performer to distribute agenda at least 3 business days prior to meeting Performer submits meeting minutes to PAR within 3 business days after the meeting PAR reviews, comments, and approves minutes within 10 business days Performer must submit agenda to PAR no later than 3-4 business days in advance of meeting PAR edits/approves and instructs Performer to distribute agenda
1.3		The Performer must participate in teleconferences weekly with BARDA to discuss the technical performance on the project. Meeting frequency may be increased or decreased as needed during the	 Performer must distribute agenda and presentation materials at least 2 calendar days in advance of meeting
		course of the project.	 Performer must submit meeting minutes to PAR within 3 business days of the meeting PAR reviews, comments, and approves minutes within 10 business days
	Technical, Subgroup, Ad Hoc Teleconference(s)	The Performer must participate in technical, subgroup, or ad hoc teleconferences as needed or upon BARDA request to discuss the technical performance on the Project Award.	 Performer must submit agenda to PAR no later than 2 business days in advance of Technical or Subgroup meeting PAR edits/approves and instructs Performer to distribute agenda prior to meeting

		Meeting frequency may be defined as needed during the course of the project.	•	Performer must distribute agenda and presentation materials at least 24 hours in advance of meeting Performer must submit meeting minutes to PAR within 3 business days of the meeting PAR reviews, comments, and approves minutes within 6 business days
1.5	Periodic Review Meetings	At the discretion of the Government, the Performer must hold up to four per year recurring Project Review Meetings, held by teleconference or face-to face either in Washington, D.C. or at work sites of the Performer or subperformer. Face-to-face meetings should alternate between Washington, D.C. and Performer, subperformer sites. The meetings will be used to discuss project progress in relation to the Program Management deliverables described in this Project Award as well as nonclinical, clinical, technical, regulatory, and ethical aspects of the program.		Performer must submit an agenda and itinerary, if applicable, at least 5 business days in advance of meeting, and Performer must provide presentation materials at least 3 business days in advance of the meeting PAR edits/approves and instructs Performer to distribute agenda at least 3 business days prior to meeting Performer provides meeting minutes to PAR within 3 business days after the meeting PAR reviews, comments, and approves minutes within 10 business days
1.6	FDA Meetings and Interactions	The Performer must forward the dates and times of any meeting with the FDA to BARDA, including formal meetings, site visits, inspections, audits, ad hoc meetings, technical meetings, etc. The Performer must arrange for up to four (4) BARDA staff to attend any FDA meeting. (BARDA staff typically include the PAR and three (3) subject matter experts).	•	Performer must notify BARDA of any and all upcoming FDA meetings at minimum within 24 hours of meeting request. This includes formal (Type A, B, or C meetings or any and all other technical meetings). Performer must provide advance copies of any PAR respondence it plans to send to FDA.

	 Performer must provide within 24 hours of its receipt, unredacted copies of all written communications it receives from the FDA. Performer must notify BARDA within 24 hours of any informal or ad hoc meeting occurrence. The Performer must forward initial Performer-issued draft minutes AND final minutes of any meeting with the FDA to BARDA within 2 business days of receipt.
Daily check-in wit 1.7 BARDA in the eve of a PHE	

2. Technical Reporting: General

#	Deliverable	Deliverable Description	Reporting Procedures and Due Dates
2.1	Project Management Plan (PMP)	The Project Management Plan should define the overall plan for how the project will be executed, monitored, and controlled and must include a Study Responsibility Assignment Matrix for Performer and Subperformer team(s). The PMP may be a single detailed document or composed of one or more subsidiary planning documents. These additional planning documents provide guidance and direction for specific management, planning, and control activities such as schedule, cost, risk, staffing, change control, communications, quality, procurement, deployment, etc. Each of the subsidiary planning documents should be detailed to the extent required by the specific project.	 The Performer must submit a Project Management Plan (PMP) Within 30 calendar days after the initiation of the period of performance Updates should be provided to reflect any key changes and the PMP shall be reviewed at least annually.
2.4	Gantt Chart/Timeline of the project	The Gantt Chart/Timeline should be detailed to the extent required by the specific project.	At first project meeting and as updated no later than every 30 calendar days. Provided in pdf.
2.5	Communication Plan	The Performer must develop and implement an effective Communication Plan that details the flow of information between BARDA, Performers, collaborators, vendors, and other organizations, including communications with all parties, as appropriate, regarding label contents, expiry dating, and healthcare provider educational materials. The Communication Plan must also include a press release review process.	Performer must submit a Communication Plan Within 30 calendar days after the initiation of the period of performance Updates should be provided to reflect any key changes and be reviewed at least annually from the date of award.

2.6	Performer Locations	Using BARDA-defined template, the Performer must submit detailed data regarding locations where work will be performed under this Project Award, including addresses, points of contact, and work performed per location, to include SubPerformers and critical vendors of reagents and supplies. Performer must include vendors for critical infrastructure protection.	 Performer must submit Work Locations Report: Within 5 business days after the initiation of the period of performance Within 30 business days after a substantive location or capabilities change Within 2 business days of a substantive change if the work performed supports medical countermeasure development that addresses a threat that has been declared a Public Health Emergency by the HHS Secretary or a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) by the WHO
2.7	Pandemic/Public Health Emergency Facility and Operational Management Plan	Performer must develop a Pandemic Facility and Operational Management Plan, including change procedures from normal to pandemic operations and continuity of operations in the event of a declared pandemic emergency. Performer must identify critical infrastructure.	The Performer must submit Pandemic Management Plan: Draft within 15 days of award Final within 30 days of award
2.8	Request for Information (RFI) Responses	Upon request of the Government, the Performer must provide complete responses to ad hoc RFIs. RFIs may address key cost, schedule, and technical updates. Responses may be shared with senior Government leaders and should be provided on a non-confidential basis, unless the response includes confidential information in which case Performer must provide the response in both confidential and non-confidential formats.	Performer must submit an RFI response to BARDA by email within 24 hours after Performer receipt of the RFI.

Monthly & Annual Technical Progress 2.9 Reports/Annual Meeting

The Monthly and Annual Technical Progress reports must address each of the below items and be crossreferenced to the Work Breakdown Structure (WBS), Statement of Work (SOW), and Integrated Master Schedule (IMS), and Contract Performance Report (CPR) – or as applicable.

- 1. An Executive Summary highlighting the progress, issues, and relevant manufacturing, nonclinical, clinical, regulatory, and publication activities. The Executive Summary should highlight all critical issues for that reporting period and resolution approach; limited to 2 pages
- 2. The Performer must submit monthly detailed clinical reports during active clinical trial enrollment to include at a minimum:
- Central Institutional Review Board (IRB)approval status
- Site IRB approval status
- Site information (Federal Wide Assurance (FWA) number, site type (e.g., commercial site, academic site), site activation status)
- Number of subjects screened and enrolled by age, race, ethnicity, geographic distribution
- (receipt at depot and receipt on site)

- The Performer must submit monthly reports on the 25th day of the month covering the preceding month; Annual Reports submitted on the last calendar day of the month after each contract anniversary. Monthly progress reports are not required for the months when the Annual Report(s) are due, and Monthly/Annual Report(s) are not due during a month when the Final Report (final version, not draft) is due (see deliverable XX). The PAR and OATO will review the monthly reports with the Performer and provide feedback
- Performer must provide FINAL versions of reports within 10 business days after receiving BARDA comments/edits
- Performer must provide notification of designated safety events to the OTAO and PAR within 24 hours of being notified of the event (see deliverable XX). The PAR and OTAO will review the monthly reports with the Performer and provide feedback
- Performer must provide FINAL versions of reports within 10 business days after receiving BARDA comments/edits

Performer must provide notification of designated safety events to the OTAO Investigational Product status and PAR within 24 hours of being notified of the event

- Safety reporting (Serious Adverse Event)
- Protocol deviations
- Database management
- Status of ancillary supplies

 e.g., Personal Protection
 Equipment, swabs, syringes,
 tubes on site
- Specimen collection status
- Pharmacy manuals

The Performer must inform BARDA of any upcoming site visits and/or audits of Clinical Research Organization (CRO) facilities funded under this effort. BARDA reserves the right to accompany the Performer on site visits and/or audits of CROs as BARDA deems necessary.

- 3. Progress in meeting milestones organized by WBS, overall project assessment, problems encountered and recommended solutions. The reports must detail the planned and actual progress during the period covered, explaining any differences between the two and the corrective steps
- 4. A three-month rolling forecast of the key planned activities, referencing the WBS/IMS
- 5. A tracking log of progress on regulatory submissions with the FDA number, description of submission, date of submission, status of submission, and next steps

_	T		T
		6. Estimated and Actual	
		Expenses	
		This report must also contain	
		This report must also contain	
		a narrative or table detailing	
		whether there is a significant	
		discrepancy (>10%) at this	
		time between the % of work	
		completed and the cumulative	
		costs incurred to date.	
		Monthly and actual expenses	
		should be broken down to the	
		appropriate WBS level. This	
		section of the report should	
		also contain estimates for the	
		Subperformers' expenses	
		from the previous month if	
		the Subperformer did not	
		submit a bill in the previous	
		month. If the Subperformer(s)	
		was not working or did not	
		incur any costs in the previous	
		month, then a statement to	
		this effect should be included	
		in this report for those	
		respective SubPerformers. If	
		the PAR and OTAO are	
		satisfied that the Performer's	
		reporting is sufficient to	
		convey this information, this	
		section may be waived.	
		Publication activities and progress for	
		any manuscript, scientific meeting	
		abstract, poster, presentation, and	
		other public-facing material or	
		information containing data	
		generated under this Project Award	
		 A draft Final Technical Progress Report	The Performer must submit
	Draft and Final	must contain a summation of the	the Draft Final Technical
2.10		work performed and the results	Progress Report 75 calendar
2.10	Technical Progress	obtained over the entire period of	days before the end of the PoP
	Report	performance. This report must be in	and the Final Technical
		sufficient detail to fully describe the	Progress Report on or before
		and a contract the	ob. ess heport on or serore

progress achieved under all
milestones. Report must contain a
timeline of originally planned and
baselined activities and milestones
overlaid with actual progress attained
during the Project Award. Descriptions
and rationale for activities and
milestones that were not completed
as planned should be provided. The
draft report must be duly marked as
'Draft.'

The Final Technical Progress Report should incorporate feedback received from BARDA and contain a summation of the work performed and the results obtained for the entire Project Award Period of Performance (PoP). The final report must document the results of the entire Project Award. The final report must be duly marked as 'Final'. A cover letter with the report will contain a summary (not to exceed 200 words) of salient results achieved during the period of

performance.

- the completion date of the PoP
- PAR will provide feedback on draft report within 21 calendar days of receipt, which the Performer must consider incorporating into the Final Report

3. Physical Inventory Deliverables

#	Deliverable	Deliverable Description	Reporting Procedures and Due Dates
3.1	Draft and Final Nonclinical Study Report(s)	Performer must provide Draft and Final Nonclinical Study Reports to BARDA for review and comment.	 Draft report due within 45 calendar days after completion of analysis and at least 15 business days prior to submission to FDA Performer must submit SubPerformer-prepared reports received by the Performer to the PAR and OTAO for review and

			 comment no later than 5 business days after receipt by Performer. The Government will provide written comments to the Draft
			Report for Nonclinical Study Reports within 15 business days after the submission
			 Final report due 30 calendar days after receiving comments on the Draft Final Report for Non-Clinical Studies; If corrective action is recommended, Performer must address all concerns raised by BARDA in writing
			 Performer must consider revising reports to address BARDA's recommendations prior to FDA submission
			 The Performer must submit Draft nonclinical study protocols to PAR electronically prior to finalization.
			 BARDA will provide comments within 10 business days of receipt of draft protocol
13.2	Protocols	The Performer must submit draft and final nonclinical study protocols to PAR.	 Performer must respond in writing to BARDA comments and recommendations within 10 business days of receipt and must be addressed prior to finalization of protocol.
			 OTAO must approve the final protocol
			The Performer must submit Final nonclinical study protocols to PAR electronically no later than 10 business days prior to FDA submission.

3.3	Nonclinical Study Final Data Submission Package	BARDA must have access to methods and reagents. BARDA must have unlimited rights to all nonclinical-related protocols, data generated from the execution of these protocols, and final reports, funded by BARDA under this contract At BARDA's request, the Performer must provide any nonclinical-related contract deliverable without any restrictive legends to ensure BARDA has the ability to review and distribute the nonclinical-related deliverables, as BARDA deems necessary.	Performer must submit at least 15 business days prior to contract endate. Partial datasets may also be requested for delivery prior to submission of the Final Data Submission Package.
-----	---	--	---

4. Technical Reporting: Manufacturing

#	Deliverable	Deliverable Description	Reporting Procedures and Due Dates
4.1	Clinical Trial Protocols	The Performer must submit draft and final clinical study protocols to PAR.	 The Performer must submit Draft study protocols to PAR electronically prior to finalization. BARDA will provide comments within 10 business days of receipt of draft protocol Performer must respond in writing to BARDA comments and recommendations within 10 business days of receipt and must be addressed prior to finalization of protocol. PAR must approve the final protocol.

			•	The Performer must submit Final study protocols to PAR electronically no later than 10 business days prior to FDA submission.
4.2 Clinica Docum	follow of a st agreer	erformer must provide the ing documents for any portion udy funded under this ment: Investigational Product Accountability Plan Study Supplies Procurement Plan Site selection questionnaire Overall Recruitment and Retention plan Informed Consent Form (ICF) template eConsent Data Management Plan Data Validation/Quality Plan Statistical Analysis Plan Sample/Specimen Management Plan Diversity inclusion plan to enroll based on US demographic based on most recent census Investigator Brochure electronic Case Report Form (eCRF) Community engagement materials, posters, media advertisements, animations, graphics, etc.		The Performer must submit Draft study documents to PAR electronically prior to finalization. BARDA will provide comments within 10 business days of receipt of draft document Performer must respond in writing to BARDA comments and recommendations prior to finalization of protocol. The Performer must submit Final study documents to PAR electronically no later than 10 business days prior to FDA submission. Performer must submit draft Statistical Analysis Plan no later than 20 business days after protocol is finalized. The final Statistical Analysis Plan must be submitted 5 business days prior to study database unblinding. Performer must submit final version Investigational Product and Clinical Supplies Management Plan at least 6 weeks prior to investigational product shipments to clinical sites. Performer must retain the capability to procure, ship, deliver, install, and train on the
		0 mp, 314.		use of all required supplies,

- Clinical Trial AgreementsMonitoring Plan
- Safety Monitoring Plan (processes to provide 24-7 pharmacovigilance and safety monitoring)
- SAE Reconciliation SOP (if safety database is separate from clinical database)
- Processes to manage and support an independent DSMB
- DSMB Charter
- DSMB template reports and DSMB reports
- Draft and Final Tables, Listings, and Figures (TLFs), ad hoc TLFs
- Plan for notifying participants of his/her treatment assignment
- Essential Regulatory
 Documents that demonstrate compliance with the standards of ICH E6 (R2) Good Clinical Practice and with all applicable regulatory requirements
- Pharmacy Manual

The Performer must make arrangements for up to four (4)
BARDA representative(s) to be present during clinical site monitoring visits.

- including, but not limited to, documents, files, and equipment.
- Final TLFs must be submitted to the PAR 3 weeks after database lock.

			• F	Performer must post results:
4.3	ClinicalTrials.Gov Posting and Results	Per clinicaltrials.gov registration and		B months from any interim analysis
	Reporting	reporting requirements.	0 3	3 months from primary analysis
			0 3	B months from final analysis
4.4	Draft and Final Clinical Study Report(s)	Performer must provide Draft and Final Clinical Study Reports to BARDA for review and comment.	• T	Craft report due within 45 calendar days after completion of analysis and at least 15 business days prior to submission to FDA The Performer must submit SubPerformer-prepared reports received by the Performer to the PAR for review and comment no atter than 5 business days after receipt by Performer The Government will provide written comments to the Draft Report for Clinical Study Reports within 15 business days after the submission Final report due 30 calendar days after receiving comments on the Draft Final Report for Clinical Trial; If corrective action is recommended, Performer must address all concerns raised by BARDA in writing Performer must consider revising reports to address BARDA's recommendations prior to FDA submission
4.5	Project-Specific First Site Activated for First Subject First Visit	Performer should have all pre-study planning complete and be ready to enroll subjects.		After IND is in effect, within five days of IRB approval
4.6	Clinical Report During Active	1	to a loca	er must submit, in a format and tion agreed to by BARDA, data a daily basis starting when first

	Enrollment Periods²	Specs during active clinical trial enrollment.	subject is enrolled and ending when last subject is enrolled.
		Clinical Report submission must be by electronic transfer, e.g., from Performer Electronic Data Capture (EDC) system/Interactive Voice Response System (IVRS) to USG.	
	Access to Electronic Systems Used in Trial Conduct	The Performer must provide access to systems used in trial conduct.	Due within 20 calendar days of PAR request, no later than ten calendar days prior to first site activated
4.8	Blinded Safety Reports, Medical Data Listing, CIOMS Report, Pharmacovigilance Database Listing	The Performer must submit blinded safety data reports, medical data listings, CIOMS reports and listings from the Pharmacovigilance database.	 Performer must provide weekly blinded safety data reports and medical data listings during the treatment period. CIOMS reports and data listing from Pharmacovigilance database will be provided to the PSRT for review. Meeting frequency may be reduced during the follow up phase.
4.9	Specimen Collection for Future Use	The Performer must collect and store clinical samples at key immune time points for future use in immune assays to be conducted at a central laboratory(s) as determined by BARDA. The sample types, timepoints, volume collected, and collection, transfer, and storage procedures must be conducted as defined by the OATO or PAR and must be defined in the study protocol. These samples and associated clinical data (metadata) must be transferred to a BARDA-managed repository according to a schedule to be determined by the OTAO or PAR. The intended use of these samples is to establish a repository of samples	daring the course of the chillean

	T	
	from the vaccine arm(s) at multiple	
	time points for future use in	
	centralized immune assays and	
	analysis. The repository is only	
	available for storage of samples	
	specifically for use in the centralized	
	immune assays according to needs	
	and requirements as determined by	
	BARDA.	
	The Performer must remove any	
	personal identifying	
	information (PII) from the samples	
	and assign each with a unique subject	
	identification number and unique	
	specimen identifier that links the Visit	
	ID, Subject ID, and the clinical data	
	before transferring to BARDA. The	
	Performer must provide a specimen	
	disposition report prior to transferring	
	the material to the repository. Testing	
	on samples can include, but will not	
	be limited to, in-vitro biochemical,	
	biophysical, and cell-based assays.	
	BARDA will establish a Deliverables	
	Table, Technology Transfer and	
	Evaluation Agreement (TTEA) and	
	Data Distribution Agreement (DDA)	
	with appropriate partners as	
	applicable (i.e., vaccine manufacturer,	
	repository, testing labs, data analysis	
	services), necessary to secure	
	execution, timelines, materials and	
	preserve intellectual property.	
	BARDA must have unlimited rights to	Performer must submit the
	all clinical-related protocols, data	
	generated from the execution of	Clinical Trial Final Study Package
Clinical Trial Final	these protocols, and final reports,	at least 15 business days prior to contract end date. Partial
4.10 Clinical Trial Final	funded by BARDA under this	
Study Package	contract.	datasets may also be requested
	At DADDA/s resourced, the Day Says	for delivery prior to submission of
	At BARDA's request, the Performer	the Final Data Submission
	must provide any clinical-related	Package.
	contract deliverable without any	

		restrictive legends to ensure BARDA has the ability to review and distribute the clinical-related deliverables, as BARDA deems necessary. If clinical trial data is included, that data must be provided consistent with applicable privacy laws to protect personally identifiable information (PII).	
	Data Exchange Package(s) Submitted to Regulatory Agency(s)	As part of Final or Draft Submission Package(s), upon BARDA request, and also as part of deliverables, the Performer must provide raw data, Tabulation Data (e.g., CDISC- compliant SDTM SAS XPT datasets), Analysis Datasets (e.g., CDISC- compliant ADaM SAS XPT datasets), and any additional documents including but not limited to Reviewer's Guide (PDF), SDTM annotated CRF(s) (PDF), and data definition file(s) (XML) to BARDA. Other data exchange standards or file formats might be used if discussed with and agreed by BARDA. The Performer must provide the software programs (e.g., SAS programs, R programs) used to create any ADaM datasets and generate tables and figures associated with all analyses, including primary and secondary efficacy analyses. List of abbreviations: XPT = SAS Transport Format (XPORT) Version 5; PDF = Portable Document Format; XML = Extensible Mark-up Language; CDISC = Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium	Performer must provide the Technical Documents and/or datasets within 20 business days of request from the OTAO or PAR
4.12	Clinical Trial Datasets	Performer must make clinical trial datasets publicly available.	Performer must post clinical trial datasets on a web-based

				platform easily accessible by the public:
			0	3 months from any interim analysis
			0	3 months from primary analysis
			0	3 months from final analysis
4.13	Additional Data Package(s)	Upon request, the Performer must provide raw data, tabulation Data and/or analysis data in a BARDA-agreed upon format and supporting documents that might be including but not limit to the list of files in package, technical specification documents, data analysis programs. Data exchange standards and file formats must be discussed and agreed upon with BARDA.		Performer must provide the data package(s) within 20 business days of request from the PAR or OTAO.

5. Technical Reporting: Nonclinical Studies

#	Deliverable	Deliverable Description	Reporting Procedures and Due Dates
5.1	Quality Management Plan (QMP)	Performer must develop an overall project Quality Management Plan to include a description of all quality activities and personnel involved in ensuring all activities are conducted and data are maintained under cGXP, and all products are managed to ensure that GMP requirements are met. All quality management plans must include subPerformer quality management plans specifically addressing how subPerformer quality will be managed. All subPerformers must have a current quality agreement with the Performer and a recent vendor qualification audit.	 Performer must submit a Quality Management Plan Within 30 calendar days after the initiation of the contract period of performance On the 6th month agreement anniversary to include any updates.
5.2	BARDA Audit	Performer must accommodate periodic or ad hoc site visits, auditing,	 If issues are identified during the audit, Performer must submit a

	1		ı	
		inspection and review of release		report to BARDA detailing the
		documents, test results, equipment		finding and corrective action(s)
		and facilities when requested by HHS.		within 10 business days of the
		If BARDA, the Performer, or other		audit
		parties identify any issues during an	•	PAR and OTAO will review the
		audit, the Performer must capture		report and provide a response to
		the issues, identify potential		the Performer with 10 business
		solutions, and submit a report to		days
		BARDA detailing the finding and	•	Once corrective action is
		corrective action(s).		completed, the Performer will
		HHS reserves the right to conduct an		provide a final report to BARDA
		audit, either by HHS and/or HHS		
		designee(s), of the facilities used		
		under this contract and all records		
		related to the manufacture, testing		
		(including but not limited to analytical		
		testing, nonclinical study, clinical		
		trial), and storage of the product.		
		In the event of an FDA inspection that		Droft report due within 45
		occurs in relation to this agreement	•	Draft report due within 45
		and for the product, or for any other		calendar days after completion of
		FDA inspection that has the		analysis and at least 15 business
		reasonable potential to impact the		days prior to submission to FDA
		performance of this agreement,	•	Performer must notify PAR and
		including, but not limited to clinical		OTAO within 10 business days of
		trials and manufacturing facilities, the		the scheduling of a scheduled
		Performer must provide the USG with		FDA inspection/site visit or within
		an exact copy (non-redacted) of the		24 hours after inspection/site
		FDA Form 483 or summary and the		visit if the FDA does not provide
		Establishment Inspection Report		advanced notice
	FDA .	(EIR). The Performer must provide the	•	Performer must provide copies of
5.3	Inspections/Site	PAR and OTAO with copies of the plan		any FDA inspection report
	visits	and FDA submissions for addressing		received from subPerformers that
		areas of non-conformance to FDA		occur as a result of this contract
		regulations for GLP, GMP, or GCP		or for this product within 1
		guidelines as identified in the		business day of receiving
		inspection report, status updates		correspondence from the FDA, a
		during the plan's execution and a		subPerformer, or third party
		copy of all final responses to the FDA.	•	Within 10 business days of
		The Performer must also provide		inspection report, Performer
		redacted copies of any FDA inspection		must provide OTAO with a plan
		reports received from subPerformers		for addressing areas of
		that occur as a result of this contract		nonconformance, if any are
		or for this product.		identified
		or for this product.		

5.4	Quality Assurance Audits and SubPerformer Monitoring Visits	The Performer must make arrangements for up to four (4) BARDA representative(s) to be present during the opening, any daily debriefs, and the final debrief by the regulatory inspector. BARDA reserves the right to participate in QA audits performed by the Performer. Upon completion of the audit/site visit the Performer must provide a report capturing the findings, results, and next steps in proceeding with the subPerformer. If action is requested of the subPerformer, detailed concerns for addressing areas of non-conformance to FDA regulations for GLP, GMP, or GCP guidelines, as identified in the audit report, must be provided to BARDA. The Performer must provide responses from the subPerformers to address these concerns and plans for corrective action. The Performer must allow for up to four (4) USG representative(s) to be present during the audit as necessary for appropriate oversight, including manufacturing person in plant, at nonclinical sites, at clinical sites, CROs, and any other clinical vendor involved in the conduct of the nonclinical study or clinical trial under	 Performer must notify AO and PAR a minimum of 10 business days in advance of upcoming, audits/site visits of subPerformers Performer must notify the PAR and AO within 5 business days of report completion and provide Draft Report. PAR and AO will review the report and provide a response to the Performer with 10 business days before audit can be finalized. Performer must provide a final audit report and corrective and preventive actions (CAPAs) to address all findings in the report. Performer must provide a final closeout report that all CAPAs were addressed to PAR and OATO Performer must notify BARDA within 24 hours of any critical and/or major findings
5.5	Risk Management Plan (RMP)	The Performer must provide an RMP that outlines the impacts of each risk in relation to the cost, schedule, and performance objectives.	A Draft is due within 45 calendar days after the initiation of the contract period of performance; updates to the RMP are due concurrent with Monthly Technical Progress Reports but may be communicated more frequently. The Performer may choose to notify the government up to two times every three months if there are no changes

			•	from the prior submission, and not submit an update BARDA will provide Performer with a list of concerns in response plan submitted Performer must address, in writing, all concerns raised by BARDA within 20 business days of Performer's receipt of BARDA's concerns The Performer must submit updates at minimum of every three months. The Performer must submit the IMS in both PDF and an agreed-
15.6	egrated Master hedule (IMS)	The Performer must provide an IMS that illustrates project tasks, dependencies, durations throughout the period of performance, and milestones (GO/NO-GO). The IMS must map to the WBS, and provide baseline, and actual or forecast dates for completion of tasks.		upon electronic format (e.g., Microsoft Project) to the PAR The first Draft of the IMS is due within 30 business days after the initiation of the contract period of performance The Government will request revisions within 10 business days, at which point the schedule baseline for the period of performance will be set Thereafter an updated IMS is due concurrent with Monthly Technical Progress Reports During a declared Public Health Emergency, the Performer must submit the IMS within 10 business days after the initiation of the contract period of performance, updates are due weekly, and any significant change (i.e., a change which would impact the schedule by greater than one week) must be reported immediately to the PAR and/or designee.

5.7	Deviation Notification and Mitigation Strategy	Process for changing IMS activities associated with cost and schedule as baselined. Performer must notify BARDA of significant proposed changes the IMS defined as increases in cost above 5% or schedule slippage of more than 30 days, which would require a PoP extension. Performer must provide a high-level management strategy for risk mitigation.	The Performer must submit Deviation Notification and Mitigation Strategy at least 10 business days prior to the Performer anticipating the need to implement changes
5.8	Incident Report	Performer must communicate to BARDA and document all critical programmatic concerns, issues, or probable risks that have or are likely to significantly impact project schedule and/or cost and/or performance. "Significant" is defined as a 10% or greater cost or schedule variance within a control account, but should be confirmed in consultation with the PAR. Incidents that present liability to the project even without cost/schedule impact, such as breach of GCP during a clinical study, must also be reported.	 Due within 48 hours of activity or incident or within 24 hours for a security activity or incident Email or telephone with written follow-up to PAR and AO Additional updates due to PAR and AO within 48 hours of additional developments Performer must submit within 5 business days a Corrective Action Plan (if deemed necessary by either party) to address any potential issues If corrective action is deemed necessary, Performer must address in writing, its consideration of concerns raised by BARDA within 5 business days of receiving such concerns

5. Advanced R&D Products

#	Deliverable	Deliverable Description	Reporting Procedures and Due Dates
6.1	Technical Documents	Upon request, Performer must provide the PAR with deliverables from the following activities: quality agreements between Performers and sub-Performer, process Development Reports, Assay Qualification Plan/Report, Assay Validation Plan/Report,	 Performer must provide technical document within 10 business days of OTAO or PAR request. Performer can request additional time on an as needed basis If corrective action is recommended, the Performer

	T		
		Assay Technology Transfer Report, Batch Records, SOPs, Master Production Records, Certificate of Analysis, Clinical Studies Data or Reports, clinical trial documents. The OTAO and PAR reserve the right to request within the PoP a non-proprietary technical	must address, in writing, concerns raised by BARDA in writing
		document for distribution within the Government ³ .	CXX
6.2	Publications	The Performer must submit any manuscript, scientific meeting abstract, poster, presentation, and any other public-facing material or information disseminated outside the purview of other deliverables, containing data generated under this contract, to BARDA for review prior to submission. Acknowledgment of BARDA funding must be included as noted in contract article X.	 Performer must submit all manuscript or scientific meeting abstracts to PAR and OATO prior to submission/presentation by 30 business days for manuscripts and 15 business days for abstracts, posters, or any other material Performer must address in writing all concerns raised by BARDA in writing Final submissions must be submitted to BARDA concurrently or no later than within one (1) calendar day of its submission Performer must list all publication material in the Monthly Technical Progress Report
6.3	Performer Clinical Publication Timeline and USG Right to Publish Data	The Performer and Government are committed to transparent and timely publication of clinical trial data to ensure rapid distribution of information during a Public Health Emergency. Within 30 days of the primary analysis, results from clinical studies funded in whole or in part under this Project Agreement and consistent with Good Publications Practices. Sponsor, Performer	Performer must notify OTAO and

			,
		must submit clinical study primary endpoint analysis for publication to a peer reviewed journal. Within 90 days of the of study end date [last subject last visit] for studies funded in part or whole under this contract and consistent with Good Publication Practices, Performer must submit clinical	clinical trial data funded in part or whole under this Project Agreement
		study data for publication to a peer reviewed journal. If the Performer does not elect to publish data, Performer must	
		provide OTAO and PAR with clinical trial data to support the government publication of data as deemed appropriate by the government, without the	
		Performer's involvement. The government reserves the right to publish a counter-analysis of the data. The Performer and Government	
	Performer Nonclinical	are committed to transparent and timely publication of nonclinical data to ensure rapid distribution of information, particularly during a Public Health Emergency. Within 90 days of the of study end	end date [audited or quality- controlled draft final report prepared and submitted for Government review] if they plan
6.4	Performer Nonclinical Publication Timeline and USG Right to Publish Data	date [audited or quality- controlled draft final report prepared and reviewed by the Government] for studies funded in part or whole under this Project Agreement and consistent with Good Publication Practices, The Performer must submit nonclinical study data for publication to a peer-reviewed journal.	Within 10 calendar days of a request for nonclinical data from the OTAO, the Performer must provide OTAO with requested data, information and materials in the form(s) requested by the government, to support the government publication of the nonclinical trial data funded in part or whole under this contract

If the Performer does not elect to
publish data, Performer must
provide OTAO and PAR with
nonclinical data to support the
government publication of data as
deemed appropriate by the
government, without the
Performer involvement. The
government reserves the right to
publish a counter-analysis of the
data.
uutu.

6. Regulatory Deliverables

#	Deliverable	Deliverable Description	Reporting Procedures and Due Dates
7.1	Regulatory	The Performer must provide a Regulatory Plan that outlines the regulatory strategy for the product. The plan must include information leading to commercialization readiness.	The Performer must submit a Draft within 45 calendar days after the initiation of the contract period of performance; updates to the Regulatory Strategy/Plan must be submitted concurrently with Monthly Technical Progress Reports. The Performer may choose to notify the government up to two times every three months if there are no changes from the prior submission, and not submit an update BARDA will provide Performer with a list of concerns in response to plan submitted Performer must address, in writing, all concerns raised by BARDA within 20 business days of Performer's receipt of BARDA's concerns
7.2	FDA Correspondence	The Performer must memorialize all original and unredacted correspondence between Performer and FDA and submit to BARDA, including formal and informal emails, correspondence, telephone calls, and official information requests (IRs).	 Performer must provide copies of all original and unredacted FDA correspondence within 2 business days of correspondence

5.0 Milestone Payment Schedule [To be provided initially by the Offeror at the time of proposal submission. Submitted information is subject to change through negotiation if the Government selects the proposal for funding. The milestone schedule included should be in editable format (i.e., not a picture)]

The Milestone Payment Schedule should include all milestone deliverables that are intended to be delivered as part of the project, a planned submission date, the monetary value for that deliverable and any cost share, if applicable. For fixed price agreements, when each milestone is submitted, the RRPV member will submit an invoice for the exact amount listed on the milestone payment schedule. For cost reimbursable agreements, the RRPV member is required to assign a monetary value to each milestone. In this case, however, invoice totals are based on cost incurred and will not have to match exactly to the amounts listed on the milestone payment schedule.

The milestones and associated deliverables proposed should, in general:

- be commensurate in number to the size and duration of the project (i.e., a \$5M multiyear project may have 20, while a \$700K shorter term project may have only 6);
- not be structured such that multiple deliverables that might be submitted separately are included under a single milestone;
- be of sufficient monetary value to warrant generation of a deliverable and any associated invoices;

• include at a minimum Monthly Reports which include both Technical Status and Business Status Reports (due the 25th of each month), Annual Technical Report, Final Technical Report, and Final Business Status Report. Reports shall have no funding associated with them.

RRPV Milestone Payment Schedule Example							
RRPV Milestone Number	Task Number	Significant Event/ Accomplishments	Due Date	Government Funds	Cost Share	Total Funding	
1	N/A	Project Kickoff	12/1/2019	\$20,000		\$20,000	
2	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	1/25/2020	\$-		\$ -	
3	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	2/25/2020	\$-		\$ -	
4	1	Protocol Synopsis	2/28/2020	\$21,075		\$21,075	
5	2	Submission for Program Office Approval	2/28/2020	\$21,075		\$21,075	
6	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	3/25/2020	\$ -		\$-	
7	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	4/25/2020	\$ -		\$ -	
8	3	Submission of Investigational New Drug application to the US FDA	4/30/2020	\$210,757	\$187,457	\$398,214	
9	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	5/25/2020	\$ -		\$ -	

10	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	6/25/2020	\$ -		\$-
11	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	7/25/2020	\$ -		\$ -
12	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	8/25/2020	\$ -		\$ -
13	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	9/25/2020	\$-		\$ -
14	4	Toxicity Studies	10/1/2020	\$63,227		\$63,227
15	N/A	Annual Report 1	10/25/2020	\$-		\$ -
16	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	11/25/2020	\$-		\$-
17	5	FDA authorization trial	11/30/2020	\$84,303		\$84,303
18	6	Research staff trained	11/30/2020	\$ -		\$-
19	7	Data Management system completed	11/30/2020	\$ -		\$ -
20	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	12/25/2020	\$ -		\$ -
21	8	1 st subject screened, randomized, and enrolled in study	1/1/2021	\$150,000	\$187,457	\$337,457
22	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	1/25/2021	\$ -		\$ -
23	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	2/25/2021	\$ -		\$ -
24	9	Completion of dip molding apparatus	3/1/2021	\$ 157,829	\$ 187,457	\$ 345,286

25	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	3/25/2021	\$ -		\$ -
26	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	4/25/2021	\$ -		\$-
27	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	5/25/2021	\$ -		\$-
28	10	Assess potential toxicology	6/1/2021	\$157,829		\$157,829
29	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	6/25/2021	\$-		\$ -
30	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	7/25/2021	\$-		\$ -
31	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	8/25/2021	\$ -		\$ -
32	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	9/25/2021	\$ -		\$ -
33	11	Complete 50% patient enrollment	10/1/2021	\$350,000	\$187,457	\$537,457
34	N/A	Annual Report 1	10/25/2021	\$ -		\$-
35	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	11/25/2021	\$ -		\$ -
36	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	12/25/2021	\$ -		\$ -
37	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	1/25/2022	\$ -		\$ -
38	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	2/25/2022	\$ -		\$-

39	12	Electronic Report Forms Developed	3/1/2022	\$315,658	\$187,457	\$503,115
40	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	3/25/2022	\$-		\$ -
41	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	4/25/2022	\$ -		\$ -
42	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	5/25/2022	\$-		\$-
43	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	6/25/2022	\$-		\$ -
44	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	7/25/2022	\$-		\$ -
45	13	Complete 100% patient enrollment	8/1/2022	\$315,658	\$187,457	\$503,115
46	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	8/25/2022	\$ -		\$ -
47	N/A	Monthly Report (Technical and Business Reports)	9/25/2022	\$ -		\$ -
48	N/A	Annual Report 1	10/25/2022	\$ -		\$ -
49	14	Report results from data analysis	11/1/2022	\$157,829		\$157,829
50	N/A	Final Reports (POP End)	11/30/2022	\$ -		\$ -
			Total	\$2,025,240	\$1,124,742	\$3,149,982

Please Note:

1. Firm Fixed Price Contracts – Milestone must be complete before invoicing for fixed priced contracts.

- 2. Expenditure Based Contracts You may invoice for actual costs incurred and providing a progress report on technical milestones.
- 3. Cannot receive payment for a report (i.e., Quarterly, Annual and Final Reports should not have an assigned Government Funded or Cost Share amount.)
- 4. Monthly, Quarterly, and Annual Reports include BOTH Technical and Business Reports (separate).
- 5. Final Report due date must be the POP end noted in Project Award.
- 6. RRPV Milestone Numbers are used for administrative purposes and should be sequential.
- 7. Task Numbers are used to reference the statement of work if they are different from the RRPV Milestone Number.

ATTACHMENT SUMMARY

Attachment A – Project Objectives (see Section A, page 10)

Attachment B – Minimum Required Deliverables (see Attachment 3, Section 4, page 37)

Attachment C – Protocol Synopsis (See Separate File)

Attachment D – NIAID DMID Standard Operating Procedure PBMC and Associated Plasma_SOP (See Separate File)

Attachment E – NextGen COVID-19 Vaccines_Ph2b Tenets_20231107 (See Separate File)

Attachment F – Expectations on sample sharing and analysis (See Separate File)

Attachment G - Specimen Guidelines for Transfer of Specimens for Secondary use to CSN BSIP (See Separate File)

Attachment H - Active Enrollment Report Daily Data Specs (See Separate File)

